Systematic Position of the Enigmatic Psocid Family Lesneiidae (Insecta: Psocodea: Psocomorpha), with Description of Two New Species
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Abstract

The systematic placement of an enigmatic psocid family restricted to Africa, Lesneiidae, was estimated by using a multiple gene data set. The candidates for its close relatives are now classified under two different infraorders, the family Archipsocidae of the infraorder Archipsocetae or the families Elipsocidae/Mesopsocidae of the infraorder Homilopsocidea. The maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses of the molecular data set strongly suggested that the Lesneiidae belongs to Homilopsocidea and forms a clade with Elipsocidae/Mesopsocidae/Eolachinesillinae (Lachesillidae). However, the relationships among these (sub)families and Lesneiidae, including the monophyly of Elipsocidae and Mesopsocidae, were ambiguous or questionable, showing the necessity of further investigations for elucidating their relationships and validating the status of these families. Two species, *L. johnsoni* Yoshizawa & Lienhard, n. sp. and *L. testudinata* Yoshizawa & Lienhard, n. sp., were described from South Africa. There appears to be a tight association between the reproductive biology and morphological specialization of this group.
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Introduction

The family Lesneiidae Smithers, 1964 sensu Schmidt & New, 2004 is a small psocid taxon composed of only four African species (L. nigra Broadhead & Richards, 1982 and L. pulchra Broadhead & Richards, 1982 from Kenya and L. capensis Badonnel, 1931 and L. stuckenbergi Badonnel, 1963 from South Africa: Lienhard & Smithers, 2002) all classified under a single genus, Lesneia Badonnel, 1931. The genus was originally described under Mesopsocidae (infraorder Homilopsocidea) (Badonnel, 1931) and then transferred to the family Elipsocidae (Homilopsocidea) (Badonnel, 1963; Smithers, 1964; Broadhead & Richards, 1982; Lienhard & Smithers, 2002). Based on the extremely specialized and neotenic female external morphology (Fig. 1) and complete absence of the gonapophyses (Figs. 3,4,5), the monotypic elipsocid subfamily Lesneinae was proposed for the genus by Smithers (1964) and was later elevated to family status by Schmidt & New (2004). However, the highly neotenic female morphology and reduction of gonapophyses are also observed in the family Archipsocidae so that the close affinity between Lesneia and Archipsocidae has also been suggested (Smithers, 1972). Archipsocidae was originally placed in the infraorder Homilopsocidea (Pearman, 1936) as well as Elipsocidae and Mesopsocidae, but the family is now placed in its own infraorder, Archipsocetae, which is considered to be the sister taxon of the rest of the suborder Psocomorpha (Yoshizawa, 2002; Yoshizawa & Johnson, 2014; Johnson et al., 2018). Therefore, families potentially closely related to Lesneiidae (Mesopsocidae/Elipsocidae and Archipsocidae) are now assigned to different infraorders. The phylogenetic placement of Lesneiidae has not been tested neither by morphological (Yoshizawa, 2002; Schmidt & New, 2004) nor molecular data sets (Yoshizawa & Johnson, 2014) so that its placement is unsettled at the infraordinal level.

In the present study, we test the systematic placement of Lesneiidae by appending DNA sequence data obtained from lesneiid samples to the previous molecular phylogenetic dataset (Yoshizawa & Johnson, 2014). Three species of Lesneiidae were examined for this study, of which two species from South Africa are here described as new.

Material and Methods

Specimens killed and stored in 80% ethanol were used for morphological and molecular examinations. Three species, Lesneia johnsoni n. sp., L. testudinata n. sp. (described below), and L. nigra were studied, but L. nigra was not used for DNA analyses because the specimens were collected over 40 years ago.

The molecular dataset included partial sequences of the nuclear 18S rDNA and Histone3 and mitochondrial 16S rDNA and COI genes but, probably because of primer mismatch, amplification of lesneiid COI gene did not succeed. Methods for DNA extraction, PCR
amplification and sequencing followed Yoshizawa & Johnson (2010). The newly obtained sequences (Table 1) were appended to the data matrix produced by Yoshizawa & Johnson (2014) (by using the dataset excluding Lachesilla because the genus is known to make tree estimation unstable: Yoshizawa & Johnson, 2014) and aligned by using the Pairwise Aligner tool implemented in Mesquite 3.6 (Maddison & Maddison, 2019). Stimulopalpus japonicus (Troctomorpha: Amphientometae) was used as the target for the pairwise alignment, and apparent misalignments were corrected manually. Data were subdivided into eight categories (18S, 16S, first, second, and third codon positions of Histone 3, and COI), and the substitution models for the analysis were estimated separately for each data category using hLRT, as implemented in jModelTest 2.1.1 (Darriba et al., 2012). The best model was selected based on a BioNJ tree. The best fit partition scheme and models were described in the nexus formatted data matrix available from Figs.hare at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figs.hare.12818792.

We estimated a maximum likelihood tree using PhyML (Guindon et al., 2010), with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Subtree pruning and regrafting (SPR) was performed for each replicate, with the GTR+Gamma+Invariable sites model (all parameters were estimated during initial PhyML tree search). A Bayesian analysis was performed using MrBayes (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003). We performed two runs each with four chains for 3,000,000 generations, and trees were sampled every 1,000 generations. The first 25% of sampled trees was excluded as burn-in, and a 50% majority consensus tree was computed to estimate posterior probabilities.

For observation of female genitalia, a detached female abdomen was cleared with ProteinaseK at 50°C (for L. johnsoni and L. testudinata, from which total DNA was extracted: see above) or 10% KOH at room temperature for one night (for L. nigra). The cleared sample was soaked with water and preserved and observed in 80% ethanol. The dissected abdomen was slide mounted by using Euparal. An Olympus SZX16 binocular microscope (Tokyo, Japan) and a Zeiss Axiophot microscope (Oberkochen, Germany) were used for observations. Habitus photographs were taken with an Olympus E-M5 or E520 digital camera (Tokyo, Japan) attached to an Olympus SZX16 before dissecting the specimens. Partially focused pictures were combined using ZereneStacker (Zerene System LLC: https://www.zerenesystems.com) or CombineZP (https://combinezp.software.informer.com) to obtain images with a high depth of field.

In the descriptions, the ratio between intraocular space and eye-diameter (IO/D) was calculated from measurements on the dorsal view of head.

Results

Molecular Systematics
Both maximum likelihood and Bayesian methods converged to an almost identical result, except for some minor and poorly supported branches (Fig. 2). The obtained trees were also in good agreement with those obtained by Yoshizawa & Johnson (2014).

The two species of Lesneiidae formed a strongly supported clade and were placed within the infraorder Homilopsocidea. Although the monophyly of Homilopsocidea was weakly supported, the clade formed by Homilopsocidea + Caeciliusetae (91% bootstrap support and 100% posterior probability) and the clade formed by all psocomorphans except for Archipsocetae (99% bootstrap support and 100% posterior probability) were both strongly supported so that isolation of Lesneiidae from Archipsocetae was evident. Within Homilopsocidea, a clade formed by Lesneiidae, Elipsocus, Cuneopalpus, Reuterella (Elipsocidae) and Mesopsocus (Mesopsocidae) received weak to moderate support (89% bootstrap support and 55% posterior probability). Two elipsocids (Kilauella and Nepiomorpha), one mesopsocid (Idatenopsocus), and two genera of Eolachesillinae (family Lachesillidae: Eolachesilla and Anomopsocus) also formed a clade with them but with weak support values (<50% bootstrap support and 90% posterior probability). The elipsocid Propsocus was placed to the sister of this clade, although weakly supported (<50% bootstrap support and 80% posterior probability).

**Taxonomy**

In the following lines, we describe two new species of Lesneiidae based on the specimens used for the molecular analyses. According to the results from the molecular phylogeny, the family is here treated under Homilopsocidea (see also Discussion). One additional species, Lesneia nigra, is also mentioned below (although not included in the molecular analyses and not representing a new species) because the present specimens provided new distributional records and some new biological insight (see Remarks on L. nigra and Discussion).

### Infraorder Homilopsocidea

**Family Lesneiidae Smithers, 1964** (*sensu* Schmidt & New, 2004)

**Genus Lesneia Badonnel, 1931**


**Lesneia johnsoni** Yoshizawa & Lienhard, n. sp. 
(Figs. 1A, 3)
Holotype female (KY510). SOUTH AFRICA: Table Mountain National Park, Kirstenbosch Site 6, "Fynbos" shrubland, decayed log, 5.ii.2009, C. Uys (partly used for DNA extraction) (deposited at Geneva Museum of Natural History: MHNG).

Paratype female. SOUTH AFRICA: Table Mountain National Park, Cecilia, Spilhaus Site 14, "Fynbos" shrubland, leaf litter, 18.x.2008, C. Uys (deposited at MHNG).

**Description.** Head black, antennae and mouthpart structures paler; eye small, IO/D = 7.0. Thorax including legs blackish brown except for the basal half of mid and hind femora white; apical tip of tibiae and tarsi paler.

Abdomen including terminal segments black and heavily sclerotized, except for lateral longitudinal white irregular band; epiproct and paraproct pale brown; surface smooth; not strongly expanded dorsally but strongly expanded laterally, pre-terminal segments gradually broadened from narrow anterior segments toward 2/3 of pre-terminal abdominal length, then gradually narrowing toward truncated posterior end, in dorsal view abruptly narrowing toward clunium. Terminalia (Fig. 3): Ventroposterior corner of clunium with posterior expansion. Epiproct small, ratio between length/width ca. 5/8. Paraproct without latero-posterior membranous region; posteriorly with two closely approximated equal-length tiny spines. Subgenital plate nearly parallel sided and with weakly arched posterior margin.

Body length 2.8 mm.

**Etymology.** The species epithet is dedicated to our colleague and friend, Kevin P. Johnson at Illinois Natural History Survey, for honoring his great contribution to elucidating the higher systematics of Psocodea. The large molecular dataset used in this study was originally compiled through the previous collaborative projects with him (Johnson et al., 2004; Yoshizawa & Johnson, 2010, 2013, 2014; Yoshizawa et al., 2014).

**Remarks.** This species is close to *L. capensis* Badonnel, 1931, the type species of the genus, but clearly differs from the latter by the shape of the abdomen. In dorsal view, the pre-terminal abdomen looks somewhat truncated just before the terminal segments in *L. johnsoni* (Fig. 1A) whereas it is gradually narrowing toward the terminal segments in *L. capensis*. In addition, in *L. capensis* the paraproct lacks the tiny double-spine, the epiproct is triangular in shape and the femora of all legs are entirely blackish brown. All these differential characters were confirmed by CL on the holotype of *L. capensis* which is presently deposited at the Geneva Museum of Natural History (three slides mounted by A. Badonnel).

*Lesneia testudinata* Yoshizawa & Lienhard, n. sp. (Figs. 1B, 4)
Holotype female (KY511). SOUTH AFRICA: Limpopo Prov., Kutetsha Research Centre at Bergplaas (litter shifting), 23°2'49"S 29°26'51"E, 23–25.i.2020, Y.M. Marusik (partly used for DNA extraction) (deposited at MHNG).

**Description.** Body entirely black, except for distal flagellar segments, all trochanters, tip of tibiae and tarsi, and lateral narrow longitudinal region of abdomen white. Eye well developed, IO/D = 4.0.

Carapace-like abdomen strongly expanded anteriorly over thorax, covering most of thorax together with vertex, surface rugose; in dorsal view, its anterior margin straight, gradually broadened to middle and more acutely narrowing toward posterior end. Terminalia (Fig. 4):

Epiproct length/width ratio ca. 4/9. Paraproct with well-developed ventral lobe; latero-posteriorly with membranous region; posteriorly with two closely approximated equal-length spines.

Subgenital plate sharply narrowing toward slightly concave posterior margin.

Body length 1.9 mm.

**Etymology.** The species epithet is derived from *testudinata*, meaning "like a turtle-shell" in Latin, indicating the characteristic sclerotized and carapace-like abdomen hanging over the thorax in this species.

**Remarks.** By the anteriorly strongly expanded abdominal carapace this species can be clearly distinguished from all other known species of *Lesneia*. Because of this highly autapomorphic condition, this species looks significantly different from the other *Lesneia* species, and establishment of new genus for this species might be justified. However, an autapomorphic specialization alone cannot justify the establishment of a new genus, because such treatment frequently results in paraphyly of the genus containing the remaining species, merely characterized by symplesiomorphies (highly autapomorphic *Podopterocus* and plesiomorphic *Sigmatoneura* of the family Psocidae are one of such examples, which are now united into a single genus: Yoshizawa et al., 2005). The abdominal conditions in *L. testudinata*, such as more swollen dorsum and rugose surface (probably apomorphic), are more similar to those in *L. nigra* than in *L. johnsoni*. However, *L. testudinata* shows more plesiomorphic eye condition than *L. nigra* and *L. johnsoni* (eye much more reduced in these species). Unfortunately it was not feasible to amplify the DNA of *L. nigra* (see Material and Methods), so that the evolutionary pathway of these chimerical distribution of character states must be tested in a future study.

*Lesneia nigra* Broadhead & Richards
(Figs. 1C, 5)

Specimens examined. 1 female, KENYA: Embu distr., Irangi Forest Station, alt. 2000m, sur végétation dans la forêt, 11.x.1977, leg V. Mahnert et J.-L. Perret (deposited at MHNG); 1 female 1 nymph (male), KENYA: Nakuru distr., Mau Escarpment, près d’Enangiperi, alt. 2700m, tamisage dans la forêt, 6.xi.1977, leg Mahnert et J.-L. Perret (deposited at MHNG).

Remarks. This species has been known only from the high altitude region (over 2,470 m) of Mt. Kenya (Broadhead & Richards, 1982). One of the present samples was also collected from Mt. Kenya but at much lower altitude (2,000 m), and the other locality is relatively isolated from Mt. Kenya (about 120 km West).

Discussion

Females of the Lesneiidae species are all highly neotenic in morphology (Fig. 1), and only a couple of male specimens belonging to this family have been known to date. Therefore, the phylogenetic placement of Lesneiidae has been highly confused (Schmidt & New, 2004). The candidates for its close relatives are now classified under two different infraorders, Homilopsocidea (Elipsocidae or Mesopsocidae) or Archipsocetae (Archipsocidae). No formal phylogenetic analysis subjecting this family has been conducted to date based on morphology nor molecules. Therefore, the family is one of the most enigmatic ones in the systematics of Psocodea.

Here we presented the first molecular-based tree addressing the phylogenetic placement of Lesneiidae by appending newly obtained sequences (Table 1) to the previously generated dataset of the suborder Psocomorpha (Yoshizawa & Johnson, 2014). The results clearly showed that Lesneiidae should be placed in Homilopsocidea (Fig. 2). Although weakly supported, the family was clustered with the Mesopsocidae, Elipsocidae, and Eolachesillinae, which agreed with the original placement of Lesneia as proposed by Badonnel (1931, 1963) (Mesopsocidae or Elipsocidae). This clade is widely separated from Archipsocidae by a couple of very strongly supported branches (Fig. 2). Therefore, its close relationship with Archipsocidae (now classified under Archipsocetae) as suggested by Smithers (1972) was rejected. Within the Mesopsocidae/Elipsocidae/Eolachesillinae/Lesneiidae clade, monophyly of Mesopsocidae and Elipsocidae was not supported, as also suggested by the previous molecular phylogeny (Yoshizawa & Johnson, 2014) and by the phylogenomic analyses (de Moya et al., in press). This strongly suggests that the family/subfamily status of these taxa must be revisited based on much more extensive taxon sampling (in total of 48 genera are included in these four families/subfamily, of
which only 11 were sampled here: Lienhard & Smithers, 2002). Therefore, although tentatively
accepted here, the family status of Lesneiidae may likely be invalidated in a future study.

The present examination also provided an interesting insight into the reproductive biology
and morphological change in this insect group. Three females, one of each species, were dissected
for genital observations, and each female had only a single (L. johnsoni and L. testudinata) or two
(L. nigra) moderate-sized matured eggs in her abdomen. Usually, a female psocid lays 12–16 eggs
per oviposition (New, 1970). With a membranous abdomen (or sclerotized abdomen with
membranous inter-segmental and pleural areas), female psocids (or other insects) can inflate the
abdomen according to the accumulation of matured eggs. However, with almost completely
sclerotized and unsegmented abdomen, such transformation is probably impossible for Lesneia
females, and the number of matured eggs present in their abdomen at a time may be limited. This
may suggest that the abdominal morphology and the reproductive biology are tightly linked in this
genus (morphological transformation altered the reproductive biology, or transformed reproductive
biology allowed sclerotization of the abdomen). This hypothesis could be confirmed if similar
phenomena are observed in the distantly related psocids having similarly sclerotized abdomens
(e.g., Helenatropos of Trogiidae, see Lienhard, 2005; Odontopsocus of Epipsocidae, see Lienhard,
2002). Egg size is also known as a key factor constraining the limits to insect miniaturization
(Polilov, 2015), and this phenomenon is probably related to the tight relationship between the
morphology and egg batch size as observed in Lesneiidae.

The abdominal sclerotization may also be an ecological adaptation to life in the Fynbos
shrubland, as both Lesneia johnsoni and Helenatropos abrupta Lienhard, 2005 have been recorded
from this type of vegetation in the Table Mountain National Park (Lienhard & Ashmole, 2011).
Another interesting convergence between distantly related families is the complete absence of an
ovipositor in Lesneia and in the viviparous members of the family Archipsocidae (Fernando, 1934;
Mockford, 1957; Badonnel, 1966). The question arises whether the low number of matured eggs
simultaneously observed in the abdomen of Lesneia females might be related to a viviparous mode
of reproduction in this genus, although such evidence could not be obtained from the present
observations. At present viviparity in psocids is not known outside of Archipsocidae (New, 1987).
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Captions

Fig. 1. Female habitus of *Lesneia* spp., dorsal (left) and dorsolateral (right) views. A, *L. johnsoni* n. sp. B, *L. testudinata* n. sp. C, *L. nigra*. Scale = 1 mm.

Fig. 2. Maximum likelihood tree of the suborder Psocomorpha estimated by PhyML. The numbers associated with branch indicate bootstrap/posterior probability values, and < indicates lower than 50%. The outgroups (suborders Trogiomorpha and Troctomorpha) are omitted from the figure, and non-homilopsocid infraorders are indicated by simplified triangles. Species from the (sub)families Mesopsocidae (Mes.), Elipsocidae (Eli.) and Eolachesillinae (Eol.) are indicated at the end of species labeling.

Figs. 3–5. Female terminalia of *Lesneia johnsoni* n. sp. (3), *Lesneia testudinata* n. sp. (4), and *Lesneia nigra* (5). A, terminalia, lateral view (setae omitted except for those on the paraproct). B, epiproct, dorsal view. C, subgenital plate, ventral view (setae omitted from right half).
Table 1. Genbank accession numbers of gene sequences newly obtained in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Voucher ID</th>
<th>18S</th>
<th>Histone3</th>
<th>16S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>L. johnsoni</em></td>
<td>KY510</td>
<td>LC589203</td>
<td>missing</td>
<td>LC589204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. testudinata</em></td>
<td>KY511</td>
<td>LC589202</td>
<td>MW116080</td>
<td>missing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>