1	Independent origins of female penis and its coevolution with male vagina in
2	cave insects (Psocodea: Prionoglarididae)
3	
4	Kazunori Yoshizawa 1, Rodrigo L. Ferreira 2, Izumi Yao 1, Charles Lienhard 3 and Yoshitaka
5	Kamimura 4
6	
7	1 Systematic Entomology, School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8589,
8	Japan.
9	2 Biology Department, Federal University of Lavras, CEP 37200-000 Lavras (MG), Brazil.
10	3 Geneva Natural History Museum, CP 6434, CH-1211 Geneva 6, Switzerland.
11	4 Department of Biology, Keio University, Yokohama 223-8521, Japan.
12	
13	Author for correspondence:
14	Kazunori Yoshizawa
15	e-mail: psocid@res.agr.hokudai.ac.jp

16 Abstract

The cave dwelling psocid tribe Sensitibillini (Afrotrogla, Neotrogla and Sensitibilla) is of special 17 morphological and evolutionary interest because of its possession of reversed copulatory organs:, 18 19 i.e., females of Afrotrogla and Neotrogla have a penis-like organ. The female penis structure is 20 highly variable among taxa, as is the case of the male penis in animals with normal copulatory organs. Here, we present the first molecular phylogeny of Sensitibillini and analyse the 21 22 evolutionary pattern of their genitalia. Afrotrogla and Neotrogla did not form a monophyletic clade, and their female penis structures are significantly different, suggesting two independent 23 24 origins of the female penis within Sensitibillini. In *Neotrogla*, the species that has a simple female penis is embedded among species that have an elaborate penis, and detailed structures of 25 the female penis elaborations are in exact agreement among species, suggesting a secondary 26 simplification of the female penis. A correlated evolutionary pattern between male and female 27 28 genitalia was also detected. This coevolution of genitalia may suggest that sexual conflict or cryptic "male" choice drove the diversity of the female penis, as is the case of male penile 29 diversity in animals with conventional genitalia. 30 31

- 32 Keywords: genital evolution, reversed direction of sexual selection, cryptic mate choice, sexual
- 33 conflict, sexually antagonistic coevolution

34 **1. Introduction**

35

Debate is ongoing regarding the evolutionary forces underlying extraordinarily rapid 36 diversification of genital traits in animals [1]. Male reproductive success increases with the 37 38 number of mates, whereas female fitness does not generally increase with multiple matings because it is limited by the number of ova. Thus, sexual conflict over mating can cause sexually 39 antagonistic coevolution (SAC) in genital traits between the sexes [2]. Mounting evidence shows 40 that males develop persistence traits in their genitalia, such as claspers and spines, that enable 41 them to coercively mate with females, while females develop traits for resistance or tolerance, 42 such as anti-clasping projections and pouches for accommodating spines, as a counter-adaptation 43 44 for mitigating the male-imposed costs [3-5]. Alternatively, female genital pouches could 45 function to allow the female to favor the males that have mechanically compatible genitals (cryptic female choice [1, 6]). 46

47 Sperm transferring structures have evolved multiple times in the animal kingdom but 48 almost always in males. Females of the cave insect genus *Neotrogla* (Psocodea: Prinoglarididae: 49 Sensitibillini: figure 1a) possess a penis-like organ, termed a gynosome (figure 1b-f). This organ 50 is used to anchor male vagina-like genitalia in a species-specific manner for a long time (40-70 51 hours in *N. curvata*), during which voluminous and probably nutritious semen is passed to the 52 female [7]. Because they inhabit dry and oligotrophic caves, severe competition for seminal gifts has likely reversed their propensity for multiple mating (reversed direction of sexual selection). 53 Among the three known genera of Sensitibillini, a well-developed female penis is known also in 54 55 Afrotrogla (figure 1h), whereas Sensitibilla does not have such a structure (figure 1g) [8–10]. Thus, the members of Sensitibillini provide an exceptionally rare opportunity to study the 56 evolutionary origins of this novel penis-like organ and the generality of coevolutionary patterns 57 between male and female genital traits. 58 In this study, we provide the first molecular phylogeny of Sensitibillini based on six 59

gene markers selected from both nuclear and mitochondrial genomes. Based on the estimated

tree, we discuss the coevolutionary pattern of female and male genitals.

61 62

60

63 **2. Material and methods**

64

The cave psocids with reversed genitalia are classified under the family Prionoglarididae 65 (Insecta: Psocodea: Trogiomorpha). In this study, all generic or higher taxa of the family were 66 sampled except for the genus Speleopsocus, which is known only from a single specimen. 67 *Neotrogla* shows the most elaborate condition of the female penis, from which all four named 68 species plus an undescribed species were sampled. Outgroups were selected from all suborders 69 of "Psocoptera" (non-parasitic Psocodea) (electronic supplementary material, table S1). Nuclear 70 18S rRNA and Histone 3 and mitochondial 12S rRNA, 16S rRNA, COI and CytB genes were 71 used. Trees were estimated by the maximum likelihood and Bayesian methods. Ancestral state 72 reconstruction was conducted under the parsimony and likelihood models. For the likelihood 73 74 ancestral state estimation, the branch length of the ML tree was re-estimated under the molecular 75 clock model. A detailed account of the methods is given in the electronic supplementary 76 material. CLIP

77

3. Results and discussion 78

79

In the present tree, *Sensitibilla* and *Afrotrogla* formed a clade, and *Neotrogla* was placed to its 80 sister group (figure 2; electronic supplementary material, figures S1-S2). Sensitibilla and 81 Afrotrogla are distributed in southern Africa [8–10], whereas Neotrogla is distributed in South 82 America [11–13]. Therefore, the result is reasonable geographically. In contrast, a well-83 developed and protruding female penis-like organ is known from *Afrotrogla* and *Neotrogla*, 84 whereas females of *Sensitibilla* only have a small sclerotized shaft near the opening of the 85 spermathecal duct that lacks a protruding portion [9,10] (figure 1c-h). This implies that the well-86 87 developed female penis either evolved independently in *Afrotrogla* and *Neotrogla* or reduced secondarily in *Sensitibilla*. Correlated with the evolution of the female penis, an absence of the 88 male paramere (grasping organ) was also detected [9,11]. The result of the parsimonious 89 reconstruction was ambiguous, but by likelihood criterion, "absence of female penis (88.7%)" 90 and "presence of male paramere (84.6%)" were estimated to be more likely as the ancestral states 91 of Sensitibillini (electronic supplementary material, table S2), supporting the independent origins 92 93 of the female penis and independent coevolutionary losses of the male parameters (figure 2). The

Submitted to Biology Letters

94 female penises of *Afrotrogla* and *Neotrogla* are considerably different morphologically: That of *Neotrogla* is fully sclerotized apically and possesses an inflatable balloon-like structure *basally* 95 (red and green in figure 1*d-f*), and the latter acts as an anchor during copulation [7]. In contrast, 96 the female penis of Afrotrogla is mostly membranous (and probably inflatable) apically, and no 97 98 basal inflatable structure is observed [9]. In addition, the penis of Afrotrogla bears a pair of lateral sclerites that are not homologous to any female penis structure of *Neotrogla* (figure 1c-f, 99 100 *h*). These morphological differences reinforce the independent origin hypothesis of their female penis. 101

102 Evolution of a female penis is an extraordinary rare event, even among the animals with reversed direction of sexual selection [14]. Nevertheless, our results show that it has arisen 103 twice in this small group of insects. In addition to competition for male-driven seminal gifts [7], 104 some factors unique to the biology of Sensitibillini, such as extremely oligotrophic cave 105 106 environments and the evolution of a specialized sperm storage organ for simultaneously holding two seminal gifts [11,12], probably drove the evolution of the female penis. In addition, 107 108 evolution of a small penis-like structure, as observed in *Sensitibilla* (figure 1*e*), likely had 109 functioned as a preadaptation. The detailed genital morphology and function of Afrotrogla and Sensitibilla are still unknown, and they deserve further studies. 110

As in cases of animals with conventional direction of sexual selection, genital 111 coevolution is not straightforward within *Neotrogla*. In most species, the basal membrane of the 112 113 female penis has one dorsal and two lateral lobes bearing sclerotized spines (red and green in figure 1). Females of *N. curvata* possess an additional set of spines on the ventral side (purple in 114 figure 1d). In contrast, the basal membrane of N. truncata is covered with tiny denticles and has 115 no lobes or spines (figure 1c, i). Male genitalia also show corresponding patterns: males of the 116 species with spiny female penises have vaginal pouches for receiving the spines (figure 1*l*), 117 whereas males of *N. truncata* do not have such structures (figure 1*i*). No wound scar has been 118 detected in the male pouches [7]. The present tree placed *N. truncata* within the species with 119 120 anchoring female spines and male pouches, implying that these structures might have evolved independently or may have evolved in their common ancestor and reversed to a simple condition 121 in *N. truncata*. The result of the parsimonious reconstruction was ambiguous, but by likelihood 122

123 criterion, female penile spines and male vaginal pouches were estimated to be the plesiomorphies

- 124 of *Neotrogla* (92.5% for both: electronic supplementary material, table S2), suggesting
- 125 coevolutionary secondary reduction of the anchoring spines and pouches in *N. truncata* (figure
- 126 2).

127 Similar de-escalation has been reported for animals with conventional direction of sexual selection. In a *Drosophila* species endemic to island mountains, male genital spines and 128 129 the corresponding female genital pouches are reduced [15]. In a diving beetle species endemic to 130 a single small pond in Japan, female-grasping male legs are slightly reduced compared with 131 close congeners, in parallel with the reduction of anti-grasping setae on the female elytra [16]. Though exact causes are unclear for these cases, theories predict that both concerted escalation 132 133 and de-escalation of coercive and resistant traits occur depending on the balance between the benefits of coercion and its costs for the counter sex [17–19]. Among Neotrogla spp., N. truncata 134 135 inhabits notably dry caves where only limited potential prey and predator species are found (electronic supplementary material, table S3). Lower densities of conspecific competitors 136 (females) and/or predators in extreme environments might reduce the risk of copulating pairs 137 being disturbed and thus can relax selection pressure for secure mate holding. Alternatively, 138 male Neotrogla might control the transfer of sperm and/or nutrients based on the stimuli 139 provided by the elaborated female penises (i.e., cryptic "male" choice), in case they reflect 140 female quality [20]. With possibly many undiscovered species/populations adapted to each 141 isolated cave environment, accumulations of basic biological data of *Neotrogla* are highly 142 desired to discriminate between these hypotheses. 143

144

145 **Ethics**. Not applicable.

146 **Data accessibility**. Raw sequence data are available from GenBank (electronic supplementary

147 material, table S1), and Nexus formatted aligned DNA matrix and Mesquite file for

148 morphological evolution data are available from FigShare [21].

Author contribution. KY and YK designed the study. IY and KY conducted PCR and sequencing. KY conducted phylogenetic analyses and ancestral state estimations. KY, CL and

151 YK analyzed morphology. RLF, KY and YK conducted field work. RLF obtained behavioral and

152 environmental data. KY and YK wrote the first draft and all authors contributed for the final

153 manuscript. All authors agree to be held accountable for the content therein and approve the final

- 154 version of the manuscript.
- 155 **Competing interests**. We declare no competing interests.
- 156 **Funding**. JSPS 15H04409 to KY and YK; CNPq 304682/2014-4 to RLF.
- 157 Acknowledgements. We thank Marconi Souza-Silva for support in the field and Nico Schneider
- 158 for supplying a valuable sample.
- 159

160 **References**

- 161
- Brennan PL, Prum RO. 2015 Mechanisms and evidence of genital coevolution: the roles
 of natural selection, mate choice, and sexual conflict. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.*
- 164 **7**, a017749. (doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a017749)
- 165 2. Arnqvist G, Rowe L. 2005 *Sexual conflict*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Arnqvist G, Rowe L. 2002 Correlated evolution of male and female morphologies in
 water striders. *Evolution* 56, 936–947. (doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb01406.x)
- 4. Rönn J, Katvala M, Arnqvist G. 2007 Coevolution between harmful male genitalia and
 female resistance in seed beetles. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 10921–10925.
- 170 (doi:10.1073/pnas.0701170104)
- Tatarnic NJ, Cassis G. 2010 Sexual coevolution in the traumatically inseminating plant
 bug genus *Coridromius*. *J. Evol. Biol.* 23, 1321–1326. (doi:10.1111/j.14209101.2010.01991.x)
- 1746.Eberhard WG. 2015. Cryptic female choice and other types of post-copulatory sexual175selection. In *Cryptic female choice in arthropods* (eds. AV Peretti, A Aisenberg), pp. 1–

176 26. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.

- Yoshizawa K, Ferreira RL, Kamimura Y, Lienhard C. 2014 Female penis, male vagina
 and their correlated evolution in a cave insect. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 1006–1010. (doi:
- 179 10.1016/j.cub.2014.03.022)
- Lienhard C. 2000 A new genus of Prionoglarididae from a Namibian cave (Insecta:
 Psocoptera). *Rev. suisse Zool.* 107, 871–882.
- 182 9. Lienhard C. 2007 Description of a new African genus and a new tribe of Speleketorinae

183		(Psocodea: 'Psocoptera': Prionoglarididae). Rev. suisse Zool. 114, 441-469.
184	10.	Lienhard C, Holuša O, Grafitti G. 2010 Two new cave-dwelling Prionoglarididae from
185		Venezuela and Namibia (Psocodea: 'Psocoptera': Trogiomorpha). Rev. suisse Zool. 117,
186		185–197.
187	11.	Lienhard C, Oliveira do Carmo T, Ferreira RL. 2010 A new genus of Sensitibillini from
188		Brazilian caves (Psocodea: 'Psocoptera': Prionoglarididae). Rev. suisse Zool. 117, 611-
189		635.
190	12.	Lienhard C, Ferreira RL. 2013 A new species of Neotrogla from Brazilian caves
191		(Psocodea: 'Psocoptera': Prionoglarididae. Rev. suisse Zool. 120, 3-12.
192	13.	Lienhard C, Ferreira RL. 2015 Review of Brazilian cave psocids from the families
193		Psyllipsocidae and Prionoglarididae (Psocodea: 'Psocoptera': Trogiomorpha) with a key
194		to the South American species of these families. Rev. suisse Zool. 122, 121-142.
195	14.	Kamimura Y, Yoshizawa K. 2017 Sex role reversal. In Encyclopedia of Animal
196		Cognition and Behavior (eds. J Vonk, TK Shackelford). Berlin: Springer.
197		(doi:10.1007/978-319-47829-2012-1)
198	15.	Kamimura Y. 2012 Correlated evolutionary changes in Drosophila female genitalia
199		reduce the possible infection risk caused by male copulatory wounding. Behavioral
200		<i>Ecology and Sociobiology</i> 66 , 1107–1114. (doi:10.1007/s00265-012-1361-0)
201	16.	Bergsten J, Miller KB. 2007 Phylogeny of diving beetles reveals a coevolutionary arms
202		race between the sexes. PLoS ONE 2, e522. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000522)
203	17.	Parker GA. 1979 Sexual selection and sexual conflict. In Sexual selection and
204		reproductive competition in insects (eds. MS Blum, NA Blum), pp. 123–166. New York:
205		Academic Press.
206	18.	Gavrilets S, Arnqvist G, Friberg U. 2001 The evolution of female mate choice by sexual
207		conflict. Proc. R. Soc. B 268, 531-539. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2000.1382)
208	19.	Hayashi TI, Vose M, Gavrilets S. 2007 Genetic differentiation by sexual conflict.
209		Evolution 61, 516–529. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00059.x)
210	20.	Reinhold K, Kurtz J, Engqvist L. 2002 Cryptic male choice: sperm allocation strategies
211		when female quality varies. J. Evol. Biol. 15, 201-209. (doi:10.1046/j.1420-

9101.2002.00390.x)
213 21. Yoshizawa K, Ferreira RL, Yao I, Lienhard C, Kamimura Y. Datasets for the molecular
phylogeny and genital evolution of Prionoglarididae (Insecta: Psocodea). *Figshare*215 (doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.6452816)
216

210

to perior only

218 Figure legends

219



251 with character state changes estimated by the inclinioud model. Ivamoers indicate bootstrap

support values/Bayesian posterior probabilities. See electronic supplementary material (figure

233 S1–S2) for details.



Page 12 of 12

TROGIOMORPHA



(C) female anchoring spines (ventral); (c) corresponding male vaginal pouches